

1 Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360)
Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076)
2 Jaclyn C. Fink (SBN 217913)
HOWREY LLP
3 525 Market Street, Suite 3600
San Francisco, California 94105
4 Telephone: (415) 848-4900
Facsimile: (415) 848-4999
5

Attorneys for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,
6 AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX
7 GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL
CORP., MATROX TECH, INC. and AEROFLEX
8 COLORADO SPRINGS, INC.

9
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,
14

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al.,
18

19 Defendants.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT REGARDING
THE SCOPE OF PATENT DAMAGES FOR
ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OF U.S.
PATENT NO. 4,922,432**

[SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION NO. 7]

Date: September 26, 2006
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Courtroom: 11, 19th Floor
Judge: Martin J. Jenkins

1 On September 26, 2006, Defendants Aeroflex, Inc., AMI Semiconductor, Inc., Matrox
2 Electronic Systems, Ltd., Matrox Graphics, Inc., Matrox International Corp., Matrox Tech, Inc., and
3 Aeroflex Colorado Springs, Inc. (collectively the "Customer Defendants") brought before this Court a
4 Motion for Summary Judgment Regarding The Scope of Patent Damages For Alleged Infringement of
5 U.S. Patent No. 4,922,432. The matter has been fully considered, and finding good cause therefor,
6 DEFENDANTS' MOTION IS HEREBY GRANTED.

7
8 DATED: _____

The Honorable Martin J. Jenkins
United States District Court Judge

11 Submitted August 18, 2006 by:
12 HOWREY LLP

14 By: /s/ _____
15 Denise M. De Mory
16 Attorneys for Defendants AEROFLEX
17 INCORPORATED, AMI SEMICONDUCTOR,
18 INC., MATROX ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS,
19 LTD., MATROX GRAPHICS, INC., MATROX
20 INTERNATIONAL CORP., MATROX TECH,
21 INC. and AEROFLEX COLORADO SPRINGS,
22 INC.
23
24
25
26
27
28